



Facsimile Transmission

North Point, 901 Lakeside Avenue • Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 • (216) 586-3939
Facsimile: (216) 579-0212
jvbiernacki@jonesday.com

May 28, 2008

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 28 2008

Please hand deliver the following facsimile to:

Name: Examiner Kyle Stork

Company:

Telephone No.: 571-272-4130

Send Copies To:

Facsimile No.: 571-273-8300

Number of pages (including this page): 2

From: John V. Biernacki

Direct Telephone No.: (216) 586-7747

JP No.: JP001352

CAM No.: 343355-600060

☐ Copies distributed

Operator's initials

Re: June 4, 2008 (2:00 PM EST) Phone Interview for Application No. 10/658,946

NOTICE: This communication is intended to be confidential to the person to whom it is addressed, and it is subject to copyright protection. If you are not the intended recipient or the agent of the intended recipient or if you are unable to deliver this communication to the intended recipient, please do not read, copy or use this communication or show it to any other person, but notify the sender immediately by telephone at the direct telephone number noted above.

Message:

Please call us immediately if the facsimile you receive is incomplete or illegible. Please ask for the facsimile operator.

ATLANTA • BEIJING • BRUSSELS • CHICAGO • CLEVELAND • COLUMBUS • DALLAS • FRANKFURT • HONG KONG • HOUSTON
IRVINE • LONDON • LOS ANGELES • MADRID • MILAN • MOSCOW • MUNICH • NEW DELHI • NEW YORK • PARIS • PITTSBURGH
SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO • SHANGHAI • SILICON VALLEY • SINGAPORE • SYDNEY • TAIPEI • TOKYO • WASHINGTON

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 28 2008

PROPOSED AGENDA FOR TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

Re: U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/658,946 entitled "Computer-Implemented System And Method For Data Collection"

1. Discussion of the second and third paragraphs of page 3 of the office action and the remarks in these paragraphs about the Maxwell reference and that "user input modifies the form." Assignee notes that claim 1 requires that client-side instructions perform the visual modification of the form.
2. Discussion of the fourth paragraph of page 3 of the office action and the remarks in this paragraph about the Fong reference disclosing the highlighting of a selection made by a user upon a data item.
3. Discussion of the rejections of dependent claims 10 and 18. Assignee wishes to discuss the subject matter of claim 10 with reference to the non-limiting examples provided in figures 7-9 of assignee's application.
4. Discussion of the rejection of the independent claim 38.

This list should not be construed as a complete listing of all issues/arguments in reference to the present office action.